

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Marine Pollution Bulletin



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/marpolbul

Distribution of faecal indicator bacteria in tropical waters of Peninsular Malaysia and their decay rates in tropical seawater

Yi You Wong ^{a,b,c}, Choon Weng Lee ^{a,b,*}, Stanley Choon Yip Chai ^{a,b,c}, Joon Hai Lim ^{a,b,c}, Chui Wei Bong ^{a,b}, Edmund Ui Hang Sim ^d, Kumaran Narayanan ^e, Yii Siang Hii ^f, Ai-Jun Wang ^{g,h}

^a Laboratory of Microbial Ecology, Institute of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Universiti Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

^b Institute of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Universiti Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

^c Institute for Advanced Studies, Universiti Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

^d Faculty of Resource Sciences and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia

^e School of Science, Monash University Malaysia, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

^f Pakar Scieno TW Pte. Ltd., 40150 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

⁸ Laboratory of Coastal and Marine Geology, Third Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China

^h Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Physical and Geological Processes, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Enterococcus faecium Escherichia coli Grazing loss rates Decay rates Persistence

ABSTRACT

We investigated the appropriateness of faecal indicator bacteria in tropical waters. We compared total coliform (undetectable to 7.2×10^5 cfu 100 mL⁻¹), faecal coliform (undetectable to 6.1×10^5 cfu 100 mL⁻¹) and enterococci (undetectable to 3.1×10^4 cfu 100 mL⁻¹) distribution in Peninsular Malaysia. Faecal indicator bacteria was highest in freshwater, and lowest in seawater (q > 4.18, p < 0.01). We also measured the decay rates of *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecium* in microcosms. In seawater, average decay rate for *E. coli* was 0.084 \pm 0.029 h⁻¹, and higher than *E. faecium* (0.048 \pm 0.024 h⁻¹) (t = 2.527, p < 0.05). Grazing accounted for 54 % of both *E. coli* and *E. faecium* decay. *E. coli* decayed in the <0.02 µm seawater fraction (0.023 \pm 0.012 h⁻¹) but *E. faecium* sometimes grew. Seawater warming further uncoupled the response from both *E. coli* and *E. coli* and *E. coli* decayed with warming. Our results suggested that the prevalence of faecal indicator bacteria in tropical waters was not due to faecal pollution alone, and this will have serious implications towards the use of these faecal indicator bacteria.

1. Introduction

Faecal indicator bacteria such as total coliform (TC), faecal coliform (FC) and enterococci (ENT) have been used as faecal pollution indicators to assess the microbiological safety of recreational waters throughout the world (WHO, 1999). The effectiveness of faecal indicator bacteria is related to their link with enteric pathogens and disease (Cabelli, 1983; Jin et al., 2004; Boehm and Soller, 2011). However the occurrence of these faecal indicator bacteria in non-human sources, and their ability to grow in the environment have complicated their use as faecal pollution indicators (Anderson et al., 2005; Byappanahalli et al., 2012; Boehm and Sassoubre, 2014). Even though FC remains in use in many states in the USA and in other countries (Jin et al., 2004), *Escherichia coli* and ENT are now recommended for use as faecal indicator bacteria in freshwater,

whereas ENT is recommended for seawater (Kay et al., 2004; USEPA, 2012) (Appendix Table 1).

In Malaysia, TC and FC have been used in the microbiological assessment of recreational waters with the enactment of the Environmental Quality Act in 1974 (Appendix Table 2) (Department of Environment, 2008). With the recent National Standard for Natural Recreational Water Quality (RecrWQS), Malaysia has started using ENT in addition to FC to assess the microbiological safety of recreational waters (Appendix Table 3) (Ministry of Health, 2017). This RecrWQS is for both freshwater and seawater, and is based on guidelines from Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), Australian and New Zealand Environment Conservation Council (ANZECC), World Health Organization (WHO), European Economic Community (ECC) and United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (Ministry of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114297

Received 22 March 2022; Received in revised form 11 October 2022; Accepted 20 October 2022 Available online 31 October 2022 0025-326X/© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Laboratory of Microbial Ecology, Institute of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Universiti Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *E-mail address:* lee@um.edu.my (C.W. Lee).